

UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes May 17-18, 1990

05-17-1990

Pages 35-86

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

May 17-18, 1990

The Board of Regents met on the above date in the Pine Auditorium, Jot Travis Student Union, University of Nevada, Reno.

Members present: Mrs. Dorothy S. Gallagher, Chairman

Mrs. Shelley Berkley

Dr. Jill Derby

Dr. James Eardley

Mr. Joseph M. Foley

Dr. Lonnie Hammargren

Dr. Daniel J. Klaich

Mrs. Carolyn M. Sparks

Mrs. June F. Whitley

Others present: Chancellor Mark H Dawson

President Anthony Calabro, WNCC

President Joseph Crowley, UNR

President John Gwaltney, TMCC

President Robert Maxson, UNLV

President Paul Meacham, CCCC

President James Taranik, DRI

Dean Charles Greenhaw, NNCC

Mr. Don Klasic, General Counsel

Dr. Warren Fox, Vice Chancellor

Mr. Ron Sparks, Vice Chancellor

Ms. Mary Lou Moser, Secretary

Also present were Faculty Senate Chairmen Alan Balboni (CCCC),

Richard Brown (UNR), Don Carlson (WNCC), Isabelle Emerson (UNLV),

Paula Funkhouser (TMCC), Louis Grandieri (Unit), Michael Mc Far-

lane (NNCC), and Steve Mizell (DRI), and Student Association

Officers.

Chairman Dorothy Gallagher called the meeting to order at 9:30

A.M., Thursday, May 17, 1990.

1. Approved the Consent Agenda

Approved the Consent Agenda (identified as Ref. A, filed with permanent minutes) containing the following:

(1) Approved the minutes of the regular meeting held

April 12-13, 1990.

(2) Approved the gifts, grants and contracts, listed in

Ref. C-1, filed with permanent minutes.

(3) Approved granting emeritus status at UNLV for the

following, to be effective June 30, 1990:

Harold H. J. Erickson, Librarian Emeritus

Paul Loveday, Emeritus Professor of Management

(4) Approved granting emeritus status at CCCC for Dru

Raney, Community College Professor Emeritus, to be effective upon her retirement.

(5) Approved granting emeritus status at UNR for the fol-

lowing, to be effective July 1, 1990:

John G. Folkes, College of Arts & Science, Department
of History

Robert C. Horton, Mackay School of Mines

(6) Approved the waiver of the one-year notification for early retirement for Lawrence M. Kirk, Extension Editor, Department of Agricultural Education and Communications, College of Agriculture at UNR. The requested early retirement is to be effective July 1, 1990.

(7) Approved a two-year leave of absence (1990-91 through 1991-92) for Martina Young, Assistant Professor of Dance at UNR. The leave will be used to complete a Master of Fine Arts degree at Arizona State University.

(8) Approved the following appointments to the UNLV Engineering Advisory Council:

Full Membership - William Yates, replaces Jim Lowman

Associate Membership - Dan Stewart, Las Vegas

Dudley Sondeno, Las Vegas

(9) Approved the following appointment to the UNR College

of Business Administration Advisory Board:

Gary Simmons, Sparks

(10) Approved the formation of an Advisory Board for the
Orvis School of Nursing at UNR.

(11) Approved the following interlocal agreements:

A. UNS Board of Regents/UNLV and the State Department
of Education (Interlocal Contract)

Effective: July 1 through December 15, 1990

Amount : \$2,336 to UNLV

Purpose : UNLV to arrange for participation in
Governor's Institute for Gifted and
Talented Students in Las Vegas.

B. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and the State Department
of Education (Interlocal Contract)

Effective: May 1 through August 25, 1990

Amount : \$3,375 to UNR

Purpose : UNLV to arrange for participation in

Governor's Institute for Gifted and
Talented Students in Las Vegas.

C. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and Nevada Department of
Human Resources/Mental Hygiene and Mental Retar-
dation Division (Interlocal Contract)

Effective: Date approved by Board

Amount : None

Purpose : Mental Health Institute to make its
facilities available to UNR's Orvis
School of Nursing for purpose of pro-
viding clinical experience and train-
ing to Nursing students.

D. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and State Department of
Education (Interlocal Contract)

Effective: April 1 through November 30, 1990

Amount : \$50,000 maximum to UNR

Purpose : UNR to disseminate four \$10,000 grants
for initiating innovative programs re-
garding: Homeless Outreach; Community
Services Agency; Clark County School

District; Children's Cabinet, Inc.

E. UNS Board of Regents/School of Medicine and Nevada

Department of Prisons (Interlocal Contract)

Effective: May 18, 1990

Amount : \$11,250 to School of Medicine

Purpose : Primary care health manpower for the
Nevada State Prison System.

F. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and State of Nevada 125th

Anniversary Commission (Interlocal Contract)

Effective: January 1 through June 30, 1990

Amount : \$15,455 to UNR

Purpose : Will James Film/Video Project

G. UNS Board of Regents and Sierra Pacific Power

Company/WESTPAC UTILITIES

Effective: Date approved by Board

Amount : \$1.00 (One Dollar) to Board

Purpose : Grant of Easement for gas distribu-
tion at UNR Motor Pool facility

Mrs. Sparks moved adoption of the Consent Agenda and approval of the prepared agenda with the authority to change the order of items as specified throughout the meeting. Dr. Hammargren seconded. Motion carried.

Mrs. Shelley Berkley and Mr. Joseph Foley entered the meeting.

2. Introductions

President Meacham introduced newly elected CCCC Faculty Senate Chairman Alan Balboni.

President Taranik introduced outgoing DRI Faculty Senate Chairman Alan Gertler and newly elected DRI Faculty Senate Chairman Steve Mizell.

President Gwaltney introduced ASTM Senator Ronald Mc Guire and newly re-elected TMCC Faculty Senate Chairman Paula Funkhouser.

President Maxson introduced outgoing UNLV Faculty Senate Chairman Nancy Master and newly elected UNLV Faculty Senate Chairman Isabelle Emerson.

President Crowley introduced newly elected UNR Faculty

Senate Chairman Richard Brown.

Mr. Daniel J. Klaich entered the meeting.

3. Chancellor's Report

Chancellor Dawson reported that the gifts received by the Campuses have been substantial and he commended the efforts of the Institutions.

He announced that the UNR Foundation had recently announced plans to launch the largest fund-raising drive in Nevada history -- a six-year capital campaign expected to attract more than \$105 million in private gifts to Nevada's land-grant University. Chancellor Dawson wished UNR success in this endeavor.

Chancellor Dawson reported that the System Compensation Committee is developing a salary package to be presented to the Board of Regents in June.

At its meeting in March, the Joint Professional Compensation

Committee recommended that UNS move toward "Transferability" which would allow adding alternative pension providers to the existing retirement program. This necessitates UNS producing a very detailed RFP. Pension Plans are a highly specialized field, and the Committee feels that UNS does not have the expertise in-house required to develop a high quality RFP and has therefore advised the Chancellor to seek an outside consultant to assist existing personnel in its development.

This is a very important issue with the faculty as employees want the option to be able to transfer their retirement funds to other plans. It has been estimated that the cost will be approximately \$20,000 to \$40,000.

Chancellor Dawson gave an update report on the ad hoc Committee on Community College Faculty Relations' recommendations which were accepted at the December, 1989 meeting, as contained in Ref. H, filed in the Regents' Office.

RECOMMENDATION 1 - A System Officer position should be established in the Chancellor's Office to represent exclusively the interests of Community Colleges. The Chancellor will develop a recommended job description for the Board's con-

sideration. -- The Community College Presidents reviewed the information collected by President Calabro on responsibilities of Community College facilitators in other states. After review and discussion, it was determined that 1) if this position cannot be called the "Vice Chancellor of Community Colleges", it was not wanted, and 2) with all of the other priorities for new positions in the Chancellor's Office, it was felt that this position was not a top priority. The Presidents felt that a facilities planner and an Institution Research Analyst to handle Community College needs would be better choices and would aid Community Colleges more at this time. The Community College Presidents acknowledge the fact that there is a need for a Community College facilitator "presence" in the Chancellor's Office.

RECOMMENDATION 2 - The Board of Regents shall meet on each Community College Campus not less than once every other year, during which time workshops will be conducted focusing on Community College matters. (This results in two Board meetings held on a Community College Campus each year. Two such meetings had been scheduled for 1990 -- April at CCCC, September at NNCC.) -- A Board meeting was held at CCCC on April 12-13, 1990 and another is scheduled at NNCC on October 11-12, 1990. The 1991 and 1992 calendars will

schedule meetings on two Community College Campuses per year.

RECOMMENDATION 3 - Alternatives for recognizing the Community Colleges' presence in the name of the University of Nevada System should be explored. -- This recommendation is currently being explored.

RECOMMENDATION 4 - The UNS Director of Public Information should develop a system newsletter to facilitate internal communications. It should include a system calendar which includes information about meetings, deadlines and events. -- The first newsletter has been completed and mailed.

RECOMMENDATION 5 - Additional avenues of communication between faculty and Regents should be cultivated to enhance the Board's awareness about faculty concerns. -- Chancellor Dawson had recommended that when the Board of Regents' meetings are scheduled at the Community Colleges an informal forum be held to air concerns. The Community College Presidents agreed and suggested that the Faculty Senate Chairmen, the President from the respective Campus, and a Regent organize the forum.

Some of the Regents expressed their concern in making the Board of Regents' meeting a three-day meeting. Dr. Derby suggested that a breakfast meeting be scheduled during the two-day meeting for this forum. Mr. Klaich stated that if the Board should hold forums for the Community Colleges, the Universities should also be given the same opportunity.

RECOMMENDATION 6 - The Board of Regents should be encouraged to reemphasize that articulation is a high priority. Consideration of the impact University-level curriculum changes have upon Community Colleges should similarly be emphasized.

Timelines for completing articulation agreements should be established between Universities and Community Colleges.

-- The Articulation Board has underway a major statewide study of articulation. The draft of this study is nearly complete. Vice Chancellor Fox will be presenting a report of the Articulation Board activities at each Board of Regents' meeting following an Articulation Board meeting.

The Presidents have expressed a concern regarding the number of Community College representatives on the Articulation Board. They felt that there should be a faculty and an Administrator from each Campus. The number of representatives will be discussed at the Council of Presidents' meeting in June.

RECOMMENDATION 7 - A Community College Compensation Committee should be established which reports to the Board of Regents. Among the Committee's immediate charges will be to recommend ways to: correct salary inequities during the 1991-93 budget process, and improve part-time salaries for Community College Instructors. -- The Committee has been established and reports to the Chancellor. The Committee is working on salary inequities and part-time salaries among many other issues.

RECOMMENDATION 8 - Careful review of the system budget should be undertaken to address funding inequities that lessen the ability of Community Colleges to carry out their mission. Equitable funding must take into account the differences between Universities and the Community Colleges, and the diversity among the Community Colleges. -- Considerable progress has been made in this area as budgets are developed.

RECOMMENDATION 9 - Staff development opportunities and resources should be expanded to allow faculty opportunities to enhance their knowledge and skills, and to interact with fellow professionals. -- The Community College Com-

pensation Committee is developing a proposal to conduct a statewide Community College Conference to be held in Reno and in August, 1990 during the week prior to the beginning of classes. The Community College Faculty Senates will be asked to appoint someone from each College to work during the Summer on a workshop planning sub-committee.

RECOMMENDATION 10 - The Community Colleges should, individually and collectively, assess themselves against "The Futures Commission" recommendations prior to the next legislative session in order to provide clear communication to all constituencies on how Nevada's students, citizens, and industries will be served by our Community Colleges.

-- President Calabro has established a committee at WNCC which is analyzing the 36 recommendations from the Futures Commission report to see which most closely fit the needs of the Campus. President Calabro has agreed to have his committee meet with committees from each Community College Campus so the Campus committees can then be working together. President Calabro reported that progress is being made on this recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION 11 - The Board of Regents should direct the Presidents to provide the Board with Campus governance flow

charts reflecting current governance arrangements on each Campus. Within three months the Presidents, in consultation with their faculties, should provide the Board with modified flow charts reflecting appropriate changes in Campus governance structures which address the faculty concerns identified by the Community College Faculty Relations Committee.

(Modifications should include faculty involvement in the evaluation of Administrators and the budget process.) --

Campus governance flow charts were previously submitted to the Board. The Presidents felt that they have made progress in this area and that the faculty has endorsed the changes which allow greater involvement in the budget process. It is anticipated that the Presidents will report to the Board in June with their governance flow chart modifications, but need to stress that in some cases the flow charts may not change.

Mr. Klaich stated that the flow charts which were presented in January to the Board were very complex and that he could not point out any discrepancies, if any, and that he had to rely on others. He requested the Faculty Senate Chairmen to aid the Board in denoting discrepancies. Dr. Derby suggested that at the June meeting clarification be made to the flow charts and a progress report be made.

RECOMMENDATION 12 - The Board of Regents shall request that the Chancellor provide a follow-up evaluation in January 1991 to determine the progress made in resolving problems identified by the Community College Faculty Relations Committee, and in implementing the recommendations proposed by the Committee. -- Chancellor Dawson will make follow-up reports, such as this one, periodically throughout the year.

4. Budget and Finance Committee

The Budget and Finance Committee, meeting as a committee of the whole under the Chairmanship of Regent Eardley, acted as follows:

(1) Approved the following expenditures:

A. Approved the expenditure of Capital Improvement

Fee Funds in the amount of \$110,500 for the following projects at WNCC:

1) Remodel Administrative and Business \$ 43,000

Office areas to provide more space

for the Business Office

2) Local Area Network - Phase III ex- 26,500
pansion

3) Additional remodeling projects include: 41,000
Printing and Graphic Arts, storage for
Nursing, student lounge, miscellaneous
equipment, upgrade phone system, auto-
welding shop improvements

Mrs. Sparks moved approval of the expenditure of
Capital Improvement Fee Funds at WNCC in the
amount of \$110,500 as noted above. Dr. Derby
seconded. Motion carried.

B. Approved the expenditure of Capital Improvement
Fee Funds in the amount of \$54,100 for the fol-
lowing projects at TMCC:

1) Elevator Controller be replaced \$ 8,000
with new modern microprocessor
controller

2) Remodeling to provide space for six 19,100

new faculty who will be arriving in
the Fall and replacement of railings
outside the north entrance to make
them continuous for the handicapped

- 3) Entrance sign for the Campus with a 15,000
message board that can be changed for
upcoming events

Dr. Derby moved approval of the expenditure of
Capital Improvement Fee Funds at TMCC in the
amount of \$54,100 as noted above. Dr. Hammargren
seconded. Motion carried.

C. The Committee of the Whole recommended approval of
approval of expenditure of Capital Improvement Fee
Funds in the amount of \$20,000 for the following
project at UNLV:

- 1) Landscaping from the Dorms to the \$ 20,000
Thomas and Mack Center landscaping
already in place

As provided by Board of Regents' policy, President

Maxson reported the authorization to use up to \$20,000 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds for a project which provided landscaping from the Dorms to the Thomas and Mack Center.

Mrs. Sparks moved approval of the expenditure of Capital Improvements Fee Funds at UNLV in the amount of \$20,000 as noted above. Mrs. Berkley seconded. Motion carried.

(2) Approved the following changes:

A. Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 10, Section 12.5, Distribution of the Registration Fee, Continuing Education, Community Service, and Off-Campus Programs, as follows:

Section 12. Distribution of the Registration Fee

5. Continuing Education, Community Service, and Off-Campus Programs. Entire fee to be dedicated to program budget for instruction.

At UNLV and UNR, the per credit fee for credit

courses offered by continuing education during the Fall or Spring term shall be the same as the per credit fee charged for other credit courses offered during the same time period. During the Summer, the fees for continuing education courses shall be the same as the fees charged for Summer Sessions courses.

- B. Approved the table of fees in Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 10, Student Fees will change as follows. This is a reflection of the above changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 10, Section 12.5.

Section 10. Student Fees

Continuing Education, Off-Campus,
per credit:

	UNR	UNLV
for undergraduate courses	40	40
for graduate courses	50	50

Continuing Education, Summer,
per credit:

for undergraduate courses 44 41

for graduate courses 54 50

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Handbook changes regarding the distribution of the registration fee and the student fees for Continuing Education at UNLV and UNR. Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

Mrs. Whitley entered the meeting.

(3) In December, 1989, the Board approved a change in Summer Session Fees at UNLV in Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 10:

Undergraduate from \$36 to \$41

Graduate from \$41 to \$50

Inadvertently, the distribution of those fees was not changed. The Committee of the Whole recommended approval of changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 10, Section 12.4, Distribution of the Registration Fee, Summer Programs, as follows:

Section 12. Distribution of the Registration Fee

4. Summer Programs - (except Community Service) per credit:

UNLV

Per Undergraduate Credit:

Instructional Programs	\$38.40	
Student Union	2.00	
Student Union Capital Improvement		NA
Summer Activity Program	.35	
Health Service	.25	
	\$41.00	

Per Graduate Credit:

Instructional Programs	\$47.40	
Student Union	2.00	
Student Union Capital Improvement		NA
Summer Activity Program	.35	
Health Service	.25	
	\$50.00	

Mrs. Sparks moved approval of the Handbook changes

regarding Distribution of Registration Fees, Summer Programs at UNLV. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

(4) Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 8.2, Refund Policy, UNLV, as follows:

a. Resident Fees (Does not apply to credit courses offered by the Summer Term or the Division of Continuing Education.)

(1) One hundred percent (100%) of resident fees shall be refunded for net credit load reductions completed within two weeks of the beginning of instruction. No refund of registration fees shall be granted for courses dropped after two weeks from the beginning of instruction.

(2) One hundred percent (100%) of resident fees shall be refunded for withdrawal from the University completed within two weeks of the beginning of instruction. For withdrawals within two weeks of the beginning of

instruction and prior to the end of the sixth calendar week of instruction, a fifty percent (50%) refund of fees shall be granted. No refund shall be granted thereafter.

b. Nonresident Tuition (Does not apply to credit courses offered by the Summer Term or the Division of Continuing Education.)

(1) One hundred percent (100%) of nonresident tuition shall be refunded for net credit reduction to six credits or less or withdrawal from the University after two weeks of the beginning of instruction.

(2) No refund of nonresident tuition shall be granted for courses dropped after two weeks from the beginning of instruction.

(3) A fifty percent (50%) refund of nonresident tuition shall be granted for withdrawals made from the University after two weeks of the beginning of instruction but prior to the end of the sixth calendar week of

instruction. No refund shall be granted

thereafter.

(subsections c., d., and e. require no change)

f. Summer Term and the Division of Continuing
Education

A one hundred percent (100%) refund of registration fees may be authorized to a student officially dropping a course before the day instruction for that course begins. A fifty percent (50%) refund of registration fees may be authorized to a student officially dropping a course during the first twenty percent (20%) of the course. Thereafter, no refund will be made.

For non-credit courses, or for credit courses taught as part of a conference or institute, or for some short intensive courses, the refund policy will be described in the publicity material for the course and may vary from course to course depending upon the circumstances.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Handbook changes regarding the Refund Policy at UNLV. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

(5) Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 10, Student Fees, UNR and UNLV, as follows:

UNR UNLV

Section 10. Student Fees

Independent Study (correspondence)	\$46	\$--
Placement Office Registration Fee	10	10
Placement Office Computer Access Fee	15	15

Note: The \$1.00 fee for the enrollment certification at UNR which appeared on the agenda was withdrawn.

Mrs. Whitley move approval of the Handbook changes regarding student Fees at UNR and UNLV. Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

(6) Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 13, Residence Hall and Food Service Rates,

UNLV, as follows:

Section 13. Residence Hall and Food Service Rates,

UNLV

Tonopah Hall

Room, Board and Phone \$1791.00 with 19 meal plan

\$1748.00 with 15 meal plan

\$1684.00 with 12 meal plan

Plus refundable damage \$ 100.00 per semester
deposit

Gym Road and Gym Road South Halls

Room, Board and Phone \$2219.00 with 19 meal plan

\$2176.00 with 15 meal plan

\$2112.00 with 12 meal plan

Plus refundable damage \$ 100.00 per semester
deposit

Breakdown of Room and Board Fees:

Tonopah Hall Room \$ 951.00 per semester

Gym Road & Gym Road So. Room \$1446.00 per semester

Board 19 Meals per week \$ 772.47 per semester

15 Meals per week \$ 729.47 per semester

12 Meals per week \$ 665.21 per semester

Private room supplement \$ 300.00 per semester

Summer Session (room only) \$ 280.00/5 wk semester

Refundable damage deposit \$ 100.00 per semester

Summer Session (private room
supplement) \$ 30.00 per session

Graduate Housing Fee: Room \$ 342.00 per month +
Board \$ 181.75 19 meal plan
or \$ 171.15 15 meal plan
or \$ 156.75 12 meal plan

December Recess Room and 19 meals \$523.00
Room and 15 meals \$513.00
Room and 12 meals \$498.00

These changes will be effective Summer, 1990.

Dr. Derby moved approval of the Handbook changes re-
garding Residence Hall and Food Service Rates at

UNLV. Mrs. Berkley seconded. Motion carried.

- (7) Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 15, Residence Hall and Food Service Rates, UNR, as contained in Ref. B-7, filed in the Regents' Office.

President Crowley explained that in order to have quality food service, there needs to be an increase in the number served, therefore UNR is requesting a mandatory meal plan for all residence hall occupants. The proposal decreases the cost of the meal plan per individual, but will increase the total collected for the meal plan if the proposal becomes mandatory for all residence hall occupants.

Mr. Clint Hosford, concerned student, informed the Committee, that a petition was taken at the entrance to the Dining Commons on May 7, 1990. A total of 361 persons were asked if they would like to sign the petition; 331 signed the petition (91%). He stated that students are not in favor of the mandatory meal plan. The students have not had enough time to financially plan for this proposal.

Upon questioning, President Crowley informed the Committee that last year there were 328 non-Freshman students living in the residence halls; 105 of these students purchased the meal plan; and 160 returning students have made a down payment for Dormitory space with full knowledge of the mandatory meal plan for next year. He stated that a mandatory meal plan is in effect at the College Inn and at most institutions across the country. UNLV has always had a mandatory meal plan and CSUN President Joe Bunin indicated that there has been no opposition to this requirement.

UNR Associate Dean of Student Life, John Marschall, explained in greater detail how the volume of the meal plan will affect the quality of the food service.

He stated that UNR Residential Life and Housing budgets were based on 90% occupancy rate for the year.

During this past Fall semester the residence halls were 100% occupied and 94% occupied during the Spring semester. The Professional Food Management (current food service company at UNR) has indicated that they could provide better meals if the numbers were in-

creased.

President Crowley distributed an additional option for this first year of inclusive room and board which would spread the annual cost over six payments (filed in the Regents' Office).

Mr. Klaich and Mrs. Sparks suggested a grandfathering approach to this mandatory meal plan. Dr. Marschall indicated that this would have a minimal impact. He did indicate that exceptions to the policy will be made for students as they have in the past.

Mr. Foley moved approval of the Handbook changes regarding Residence Hall and Food Service Rates and the optional payment plan for the meal plan at UNR. Dr. Derby seconded. Mr. Klaich and Mrs. Whitley opposed. Motion carried.

(8) Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 17.1, Apartment Rentals, UNR, as follows:

Section 17. Apartment Rentals, UNR

1. University Village apartments shall rent for \$250 per month (effective 7/1/90) with a rental agreement requiring two weeks notice of intent to vacate. Rent shall be payable one month in advance. Before moving in, the tenant shall pay the first and last month's rent (partial month pro-rated), plus a \$100 cleaning and damage deposit, and a \$15.00 key deposit. The \$115.00 key, damage and cleaning deposit shall be refunded in whole or in part upon the termination of the rental agreement and the peaceful surrender of the rented premises with the premises left in a clean and habitable condition (ordinary wear excepted) and with a complete inventory of furnishings. Inspection will be made by an authorized agent of the University.

Rent not received by 5:00 P.M. on the eleventh (11th) day of the month will be considered delinquent and will be subject to a five dollar (\$5.00) late charge. Rent or other charges not paid by the twenty-fifth (25th) of the month when due will result in termination of the rental agreement on the last day of the month when due.

UNR Associate Dean for Student Life, John Marschall, explained that the 40-unit self-supporting University Village is in severe need of renovation and replacement, but in order to do this, there needs to be an increase in revenue to begin a reserve for the costs which will be incurred. With the proposed increase, \$40,000 will be generated in the first year which will allow for only one major improvement. Some additional improvements will include windows, storage units, furnaces, bathrooms and fire safety. The increased rate will begin when the remodeling begins. Sierra Pacific Power Company's audit indicated that with the improvements made, the tenants could realize a 50% savings on their power statements. Dr. Marschall indicated that there are no reserves to take care of a debt source for a bond loan such as the residence halls have for their renovation projects.

Mrs. Chrysi Masoudi, University Village tenant, stated that a 55% increase is unrealistic for married students living at University Village. She is concerned that if this increase is approved, then the married students will be subject to future increases which

they could not financially handle.

Mr. Klaich questioned if there would be assurances that future increases would be used for future renovation projects. President Crowley informed the Committee that the University Village was built in 1960 and has not implemented a scheduled rental increase during the past years. The University Village has not been maintained as it should over the past years, and now it is in desperate need of repairs. He admitted that this was a tough decision to make, but one that must be realized. He gave his word that such increases would not occur every year in the future.

Mrs. Sparks stated that UNR needs to provide a proper quality of life for the students who live in the residence halls and rental properties, and if this cannot be obtained, then the properties should be abandoned.

ASUN President Jason Geddes informed the Committee that out of the last \$4 student fee increase, \$1 was directed to the renovation of the residence halls, but it was his understanding that nothing was directed to

married student housing. He suggested that married student housing receive a portion of this fee. Mr. Marschall corrected Mr. Geddes by stating that a pro-rated amount of the \$1 fee is directed to married student housing.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Handbook change regarding Apartment Rentals at UNR upon the condition that all of the increase be allocated to the improvements in married student housing so long as the increase is considered necessary by UNR and that UNR will provide the Changellor with verification of this process. Dr. Hammargren seconded. Motion carried.

- (9) Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 18, The College Inn, UNR, as contained in Ref. B-9, filed in the Regents' Office.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Handbook changes regarding The College Inn at UNR. Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

- (10) Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 3, Section 19.1, Summer Session Salary Schedules, UNLV

as follows:

Section 19. Summer Session Salary Schedules

Salary schedules for Summer Session faculty at UNR and UNLV shall be approved annually by the Board of Regents. The following schedules are effective for the 1990 Summer Session:

1. University of Nevada, Las Vegas

UNLV Faculty

Professor	\$1035 per credit hour
Associate Professor	1009 per credit hour
Assistant Professor	983 per credit hour
Lecturer	880 per credit hour

Visting Faculty

Professor	\$ 950 per credit hour
Associate Professor	900 per credit hour
Assistant Professor	850 per credit hour
Lecturer	750-850 per credit hour
Lab Assistant	750 per credit hour

Professor Emeritus: Depends upon rank at time of retirement.

The per-credit-hour salary is understood to be a maximum allowable salary. A lower per-credit salary, when necessitated by low enrollment, and when agreed to by the Instructor, is not precluded.

Mrs. Sparks moved approval of the Handbook changes regarding Summer Session Salary Schedules at UNLV. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

(11) Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 3, Section 20.2, Part-Time Salary Schedules, UNLV, as follows:

Section 20. Part-Time Salary Schedules

Salary schedules for part-time instructional staff shall be subject to approval of the Board of Regents.

The following schedules shall be implemented in Summer, 1990 and shall remain effective until changed by action of the Board of Regents:

2. University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Minimum of \$500 per credit hour

Mrs. Sparks moved approval of the Handbook changes

regarding Part-Time Salary Schedules at UNLV. Dr.

Derby seconded. Motion carried.

The open meeting recessed at 10:05 A.M. and reconvened in a
Closed Personnel Session at 12:20 P.M., Thursday, May 17, 1990,
with all Regents present.

5. Personnel Session

Upon motion by Mr. Klaich, seconded by Mrs. Whitley, the
Board moved to a closed personnel session for the purpose
of discussing the character, alleged misconduct, profes-
sional competence or physical or mental health of a person
in accordance with NRS 241.030.

Upon motion by Dr. Eardley, seconded by Mrs. Sparks, the
Board moved to open session. Motion carried.

The open meeting reconvened at 2:45 P.M., Thursday, May 17, 1990,

with all Regents present.

6. Approved Resolution, UNLV Jazz Band

Approved the following resolution in recognition of the UNLV

Jazz Ensemble:

RESOLUTION #90-5

WHEREAS, the University of Nevada, Las Vegas Jazz Ensemble has demonstrated an outstanding record of success over the last twelve years, recording eight commercial albums and traveling extensively around the world; and

WHEREAS, the UNLV Jazz ensemble has well represented its University, the University System, the City of Las Vegas, and the entire State of Nevada; and

WHEREAS, the UNLV Jazz Ensemble out-performed College and University big bands from throughout the country in 1990 during regional and national competition; and

WHEREAS, the UNLV Jazz Ensemble was declared national champion over seven other finalists at the fourth annual

Music Fest USA competition at the 1990 National Finals

sponsored by "Down Beat" magazine in Oakland, California;

and

WHEREAS, the Board of Regents and the University of Nevada

System are proud of the ensemble, its director, staff, and

supporters; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, by the Board of Regents of the University of

Nevada System that in honor of the Jazz Ensemble's National

Championship, today, May 17, 1990, be declared "UNLV Jazz

Ensemble Day" throughout the University System, and further,

be it

RESOLVED, that the University of Nevada, Las Vegas be highly

commended for bringing such a great honor to the State of

Nevada, and praised for finishing "NUMBER ONE".

Dr. John Unrue, Senior Vice President and Provost, intro-

duced Mr. Grank Gagliardi, Director of Jazz Studies at UNLV.

Mr. Gagliardi has been on staff at UNLV for twelve years and

was commended for his service. Mr. Gagliardi thanked the

Board of Regents for their recognition and distributed a

UNLV Jazz Ensemble cassette tape to each Board member.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the resolution which recognizes the UNLV Jazz Ensemble. Dr. Hammargren seconded.

Motion carried.

7. Report and Recommendations of the Investment Committee

A report and recommendations of the Investment Committee meeting, held May 17, 1990, were made by Regent Daniel Klaich, Chairman.

The Committee recommended approval of the ratification of a contract to Security Pacific Bank Corporation for custodial banking services.

Mr. Klaich introduced Ms. Janet Mac Donald, UNS Deputy Treasurer, and Mr. Art Lenon, Director of Purchasing, Business Center North. Ms. Mac Donald explained that several months ago an RFP was prepared with the assistance of Cambridge and Associates. The RFP was sent to all banks doing business in the State of Nevada. A sub-committee, consisting of representatives from UNLV, UNR, DRI, TMCC and the Deputy Treasurer's Office, was formed to evaluate the proposals received.

Ms. Mac Donald stated that some 13 factors were used to evaluate the banks which had responded to the RFP:

The Custodial organization of the bank;

The resources of the bank's Trust Department, including the number of trust accounts, the type of trust accounts and the insurance coverage of the bank;

The Cash Management Services available at the bank.

Daily cash receipts of UNS trust accounts are "swept" by the bank into an investment account so that interest may be earned. This historical earnings of the "sweep" accounts was taken into consideration;

Available Settlement facilities of the bank, which is their use of central depositories, and their membership in DTC, the Federal Service System, Eurodollars, etc.;

The Data Processing and Accounting systems. UNS is

very interested in upgrading the current computer systems, i. e., to down-load statements into the PC's in the Deputy Treasurer's Office; the ability to monitor the Regent Investment Guidelines; receipt of statements on trade date and settlement date; on-line access with ability to manipulate data once it is transferred from the bank;

Failed trades and how dividends and interest payments would be made were considered. Sample reports were reviewed and compared looking at portfolio analysis. Banks were questioned about the availability of custom reports for UNS;

Security lending abilities which allows portfolio instruments to be loaned thereby adding up to a possibility of 30 basis points to total performance;

Option accounting and future accounting capabilities.

Fees.

Ms. Mac Donald stated that all Committee members attend-

ed on-site visits to the banks and that references were checked.

Based on the careful review of the above, the Committee unanimously recommended to the Investment Committee that Security Pacific Bank be appointed as the UNS Custodial Bank.

Mrs. Sparks questioned whether the Investment Committee members should not have received copies of the RFP and bids. Ms. Mac Donald replied that, no, that is not the general practice on bids. Mr. Art Lenon agreed. Mrs. Sparks then questioned whether this was the lowest bid of those submitted; whether this was the best financial arrangement for the System provided in the RFP's. Ms. Mac Donald replied that it was the best "we could get". Further questioning by Mr. Klaich revealed that Security Pacific offered the services for \$65,000 and First Interstate of Nevada offered \$68,000. Ms. Mac Donald explained that the fees were only one of the 13 criteria used to evaluate the proposals.

Mrs. Sparks then questioned whether there were only two bids, with Ms. Mac Donald replying in the affirmative.

Mr. Lenon, referring to his memorandum dated May 17, 1990 to the Board of Regents, (filed with the permanent minutes), stated that each member of the Evaluation Committee individually ranked the proposals.

Mr. Klaich asked who held the current custodial contract and Ms. Mac Donald replied that it was Valley Bank. He then asked whether she felt the bid from Security Pacific Bank as recommended was superior to the Valley Bank contract currently in effect. Ms. Mac Donald replied that it was and she was the only one who could answer that question because the Evaluation Committee did not consider Valley Bank since they were not a part of the process. In response to Mrs. Sparks' query of why they were not, Ms. Mac Donald stated that she wished to state publicly that Valley Bank has been a great friend to UNS, that they were most cooperative in assisting with every service when they were asked, but that she would not change the recommendation to the Board.

Mr. Klaich again pressed that he wanted to assure himself that Ms. Mac Donald was stating that the Evaluation Committee's recommendation of Security Pacific Bank was indeed superior to the current contract UNS has with

Valley Bank of Nevada. Ms. Mac Donald replied that it was. He then asked whether she was measuring that against the contract that was submitted on or about April 9, 1990 (from Valley Bank), or the contract that was in force with Valley Bank prior to that date? Ms. Mac Donald replied that it was her conclusion that Security Pacific Bank was superior applied to both contracts of Valley Bank.

Mr. Klaich asked whether Ms. Mac Donald has compared this detailed evaluation and ranking (that was presented to the Investment Committee in the memo of May 17, 1990, page 4) with respect to the Valley Bank contract that was submitted on or about April 9, 1990. Ms. Mac Donald replied that she had personally, but the Evaluation Subcommittee members had not. Mr. Klaich again pressed whether on the basis of Ms. Mac Donald's personal evaluation of the Security Pacific Bank bid verses the Valley Bank contract that was submitted about April 9, when the bidding closed, the Security Pacific Bank contract was superior in her opinion. She stated that it was.

He then questioned what the fees of Valley Bank would be

under the April 9, 1990 contract? Ms. Mac Donald replied that she estimated them to be about half of what they are currently, or about \$35,000. Mr. Klaich questioned that, focusing on only one item of the 13 of which the bids were evaluated, Valley Bank would be proposing to continue as Custodial Bank with UNS at a cost of about \$35,000 and two other banks had responded to an RFP with substantially similar intent and yet their estimated fees were about double that figure. Ms. Mac Donald replied that was true, the other two banks were closer to the current fees charged by Valley Bank.

Mr. Klaich then questioned how to account for Valley Bank stating that they could do the job for half the price, or alternately, why would Ms. Mac Donald recommend to the Board that UNS should pay twice as much in fees to Security Pacific Bank that Valley Bank would do for half that amount. Ms. Mac Donald replied that the fees were not the only item taken into account when making the recommendation. Further, she stated that in the area of security lending UNS would be able to obtain a superior advantage if they went with Security Pacific Bank because of the opportunity to currently lend out some of the securities held in the portfolio and any

difference in fees could be made up many times over.

Mr. Klaich questioned whether UNS had the capability with Valley Bank. Ms. Mac Donald stated she did not believe UNS would have that capability nearly to the extent that it would have at Security Pacific Bank.

Ms. Mac Donald stated she hoped that she was not being "pitted against Valley" and added that she felt Valley Bank had done a good job for the University.

Mr. Klaich continued that he was focusing on the sentence on page 2 of the May 17, 1990 memorandum under "Chronological Outline", which states, "4/10/90 Valley requested, via letter, UNS approve a contract modification in the form of a fee schedule reduction. UNS took no action pending the outcome of the open procurement. UNS deemed it inappropriate to consider or make a contract change during the legal procurement process." He questioned how UNS could recommend that custodial services be changed without measuring against the current contract, which he deemed to be amended. Ms. Mac Donald stated that there was the option throughout the process not to accept either bid and stay with Valley Bank. Mr. Klaich replied that he wanted to be assured

that in exercising that judgment, that it was exercised
vis-a-vis the revised contract.

Ms. Mac Donald stated that in her mind it was. Further,
she stated that she had been advised by the Purchasing
Department that Valley could not participate for legal
reasons, so the evaluation sub-committee did not look at
the revised fees from Valley, but that she had. She
stated she would still not change the recommendation.

Dr. Eardley stated that he had received only one phone
call on this matter prior to the meeting and that he
had not had the background information available to the
Evaluation Sub-Committee. He asked why the April 10,
1990 contract amendment from Valley Bank could not be
accepted and whether there was a rule concerning a
conference.

Mr. Lenon stated the purpose of the pre-bid conference
was to make certain that all banks interested in res-
ponding to the RFP were in attendance at the conference
and that there was a fair exchange of information be-
tween the organizations. He related that under Board of
Regents policy, and under the procedure guidelines of

good and accepted purchasing practices, that once a procurement process is started, the parameters are not changed, because the courts in Nevada and nation-wide have ruled that the established mechanism must be followed.

Mr. Lenon continued that he had met with the Valley Bank people because of the disqualification. He related to them at the meeting that his decisions were subject to judicial review. It was at that meeting that Valley Bank asked UNS permission to petition the other two banks for reinstatement to the process, which those banks denied. He added that it is Business Center North's principal objective to seek competition. He added that based on the discussions with Valley Bank, that he does not believe there was a technical oversight on the part of Valley Bank and they did not pay attention to the details on the proposal.

Mr. Foley questioned whether the purpose of the pre-proposal conference was for those who wished to make a bid to gather information, with Mr. Lenon replying in the affirmative. Mr. Foley stated he could not understand why not attending the pre-proposal conference would be

grounds for disqualification. Mr. Lenon replied that during an open competitive procurement process even the incumbent contractor is considered a proposer and information shared at a pre-proposal conference is important to the process. Also, he added that they are very careful in procedure processes of this kind, that no proposer, regardless of whether they are incumbent, is allowed to take unilateral exceptions to the guidelines that have been established without first communicating with his office so that all proposers are treated the same. He agreed that it was a technical point, but it is one that BCN is obligated to follow to maintain compliance with Board policy and accepted public purchasing practices.

Mr. Foley argued that the objective of the process is to obtain the best services at the best price for the System and that to disqualify someone for a technical part of the program has nothing to do with the final bid to give anyone an advantage one way or the other. He stated he felt UNS rules are a disservice in eliminating a competitor.

Mr. Lenon stated he understood Mr. Foley's argument, but

there were no provisions in the guidelines under which he operates that would allow him to deviate from the procedures. He related that he felt comfortable in defending the recommendation of the Sub-Committee because they had followed the guidelines to the letter and all other proposers had complied. He stated he felt that any deviation could be cause for the other proposers to question the integrity of the process.

Mr. Klaich stated for the record that he felt Ms. Mac Donald was neutral and objective in her evaluation of the services for UNS. He also added that he had no information concerning the bids prior to this meeting, and that his concern was only for the best services for the best price, which is not necessarily the lowest price.

In answer to Mrs. Sparks' question of why Regents had not received copies of the bids, Ms. Mac Donald stated that the bids are very technical and expertise in the field is needed to properly evaluate them.

Mr. Klaich asked the length of time it might take to have the other Sub-Committee members give recommendations to the Committee or the Board. Mr. Lenon replied

that four of the six members were presently in the meeting room. Mr. Klaich questioned whether they had analyzed the three bids as Janet had. Ms. Mac Donald replied they had not, that she was the only one to have seen the fees. Chancellor Dawson stated that a teleconference could be set up and the Committee could have an answer for the next day.

Mr. Klasic, General Counsel, noted that his recommendation was that the Sub-Committee not review the three bids because he felt that it could place the entire procurement process in jeopardy. He added that by Valley Bank not attending the pre-proposal conference constituted disqualification. Mr. Klaich related that when asked to approve a Custodial Bank for UNS, he wanted to have full assurances of the people reviewing the two qualifying bids that it was more satisfactory to accept one of the bids than to remain with the current bank. Mr. Klasic reminded the Committee of the chronology of facts before them that on April 9, 1990 Purchasing opened and distributed the responses from Security Pacific and First Interstate Banks. A day later, April 10, 1990, Valley Bank requested a contract modification that was half the current fee. Mr. Klaich

stated he understood that documentation was received by Valley Bank on April 9, 1990 and that a letter was received a day later.

Mrs. Sparks again questioned why the Investment Committee had not been informed prior to this meeting that Valley Bank had not been given consideration. Ms. MacDonald replied that the Committee was keeping the recommendation confidential until the meeting, and added that this was the first rigorous RFP in which she had participated and she was following the guidelines. Mrs. Whitley added that this was the first time for the procedure, with Mr. Klaich stating the procedure was adopted because of the unfortunate happenings the first time around (referring to the awarding of the contract in 1984).

Dr. Eardley asked about the regulation on when custodial bids are to be made, with the reply being that it was every five years. Ms. MacDonald explained that in the past the contract had been for three years, but that it was too difficult for the Campuses to change banks that often. She related that UNS started with \$20 million in custodial accounts six years ago, and, with the

changes made in the endowment system at that time, the amount is now nearly triple that amount at \$60 million.

Mr. Foley stated he understood that the RFP was designed by the Purchasing Department at Business Center North, and questioned whether that had been in consultation with Ms. Mac Donald's Office, to which she replied that it had.

Mrs. Berkley questioned why Valley Bank would not be considered in the bid process since the account has grown since being placed with them. Ms. Mac Donald related that Valley Bank was a part of the growth, but there were other factors as well.

Dr. Hammargren questioned why the Security Pacific bid was considered better even though their bid might be be twice as much as another bid. Ms. Mac Donald replied that there is more involved in the services than the fees, and cited on-line access to computers and security lending. She explained that UNS holds a lot of U. S. Treasury Notes, and money is made by lending such UNS securities. This requires high tech systems to be involved in the process and the size of the bank makes a

difference. Security Pacific is the fifth largest bank in the country and they have their own central bank operations in New York.

Mr. Mark Bouchard, Vice President with Valley Bank of Nevada, stated that it had been an oversight on the part of the bank in not realizing they had missed the pre-bid conference. He commended Janet Mac Donald stating she had been very supportive over the five-year relationship with UNS. Mr. Bouchard stated that they had gone to First Interstate and Security Pacific Banks to ask to be allowed to bid, and when refused, Valley Bank had determined they would prepare a bid contract in terms of fees based on the RFP as though they were a part of the process. At that point they had sent a letter to the University System's Office indicating they were lowering their fee to a figure of approximately \$35,000 because they had wanted their fee known. He agreed with Ms. Mac Donald that the "gray area" of the situation is Valley Bank's systems ability, the on-line capability, and a securities lending program which are not part of the current contract. He stated they have a Custody Services Department that encompasses 26 people, and they handle other State funds including

the State of Nevada, the Insurance System, Washoe and Clark Counties, the City of Las Vegas, the City of Reno and Douglas County.

Mr. Bouchard continued, relating that he believed Valley Bank could be very competitive in the technology arena and also very competitive financially in the securities lending area. He stressed that over the five years of new contract they would be \$175,000 under the Security Pacific Bid. He explained that Valley does have some on-line technology and they are involved in lending currently with the State Industrial Insurance System and other clients. He stated he felt Valley Bank's proposed fee should be measured against a custody fee, and that the securities lending and the on-line ability should be removed. He related they would have been more than willing to provide on-line access for the same fee and in the securities lending arena they would have paid for custody in their arena as well.

Mr. Tom Collins, Vice President with Security Pacific Bank, stated that the cover letter that accompanied the RFP very clearly stated there was a mandatory pre-proposal bid meeting prior to responding to the bid, and

that he found it disconcerting to have this issue debated at this point in the bid process. He related that Security Pacific provides services to PERS here in Nevada.

Chairman Klaich announced that Item 26 of the Board of Regents agenda allows review of the Committee recommendations, where all members of the Board would have an opportunity to address the question.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Investment Committee. Dr. Eardley seconded.

Mr. Klaich, Chairman of the Investment Committee, reported there had been one item on the Committee agenda to approve a bid for custodial banking services pursuant to an RFP which was published and bids which were received.

Mr. Klaich moved to award the bid for UNS Custodial Banking Services to Security Pacific Bank Corporation. Mr. Eardley seconded.

Mrs. Gallagher stated for the record that she is a Director of the Board at Security Pacific Bank and because of this

conflict of interest she would be taking no part in the discussion nor in the vote.

Mr. Peter Thomas, President of Valley Bank, commented that his company does not stand to make a great deal of money from this contract, but that he felt the issue was one of saving UNS \$150,000 over a five-year period vs. the value to UNS to follow absolutely its guidelines in its purchasing procedure which has prevented Valley Bank from bidding.

He stated he felt there were three options open to UNS:

1) to approve the contract as recommended; 2) to continue with a modified contract to Valley Bank at \$30,000 per year less than that of the recommended contract, and 3) go out to bid once again.

Mr. Thomas explained that the \$30,000 fee would be for all services as required by the RFP including new services for automation, new services for handling securities and lending the securities. He stated their interest in the contract is for the best interest of the University. He explained that two days after the oversight of missing the pre-proposal conference was discovered, Valley Bank contacted the University and the other banks. He stated that the current contract allows for modifications at any time,

providing both parties so choose, and Valley Bank has offered a modification (to change the cost from \$70,000 to \$35,000 per year).

Mr. Thomas continued, stating that he was confident Valley Bank could provide all 12 of the other services required in the RFP. He urged the Board to strongly consider the \$150,000 "hit" it would be taking by awarding the contract to one of the other banks.

Mr. Tom Collins, Vice President of Security Pacific Bank, declined to comment, stating he did not want to get into a rebuttal situation with another bank at this time.

Mr. Klaich questioned Ms. Mac Donald about comparing the bids received. He stated he understood there were bids from Security Pacific and First Interstate Bank. Mr. Thomas had just informed the Board that Valley Bank had submitted a bid and he questioned whether that bid does in fact conform to the services and specifications requested in the RFP. Ms. Mac Donald replied that she understood the President of Valley Bank to be saying that the services they would provide to UNS would be much greater than what is being provided under the current contract. Further,

she stated that she did not feel Valley Bank has the capability to accomplish what UNS has asked for, and what, through the bid and site visit, the Security Pacific Bank can provide. She also stated it was her understanding that Mr. Thomas was saying Valley Bank could give UNS services they are not now performing and for half the price. She added that even if she accepted that statement as actual fact, she would stand by her recommendation that in view of all her experience with the banks and seeing what they do, that Security Pacific Bank can best serve UNS.

Mr. Klaich continued with Ms. Mac Donald stating that he had, this morning, asked whether she had carefully reviewed the two qualifying responses to the RFP and had compared those, using the same criterion to the offer received from Valley Bank, and had asked her opinion whether or not of those three Security Pacific was the best bid for the UNS, and she had replied that she had done the review and that Security Pacific was the best of the three. Ms. Mac Donald stated that was correct, she had made and meant that statement.

Dr. Eardley asked Mrs. Mac Donald whether Valley Bank did not meet certain guidelines or rules and that she had made

her judgment basically on that and nothing else. Ms. Mac Donald stated she was not focusing on the procurement procedures; that she was focusing on the service that UNS needs for the \$200 million involved. Dr. Eardley then asked whether she had focused on the \$30-35,000 difference in cost to UNS. Ms. Mac Donald replied that it was part of her consideration, but she would still recommend Security Pacific.

Ms. Mac Donald stated that there wasn't a representative present from First National Bank and she was unaware of what their feelings would be in this situation. She mentioned that First Interstate Bank had just given a \$1 million gift to the UNS. Mr. Klaich stated for the record that any other relationship between any of these institutions and the UNS would have absolutely no bearing on any decision he might make in this matter, that his decision would be based solely upon a comparison of the three contracts regardless of what was anticipated or what had happened in the past.

Dr. Derby asked for a clarification of the comparison of the three contracts by Ms. Mac Donald, who replied that she just has the word of the President and Mr. Mark Bouchard (Valley Bank) that they are going to provide new services,

which they are not doing now. She stated that in the five years she has worked with them, she has not received or heard of any of these new Valley Bank services. She stated Valley Bank has supported the University and her Office for five years, she was worked with them on a daily basis, she talks with their Trust Department and has had a lot of experience in dealing with them and they are a very good bank, but she did not feel they could provide the services UNS is asking in the RFP.

Mrs. Sparks related her concern with the lack of procedure in that she felt the members of the Investment Committee should have been involved from the beginning. She stated she had only received the information at the Committee meeting earlier in the day and felt they should have been informed that the current custodial bank was not a part of the process.

Mr. Thomas stated that in defense of Ms. Mac Donald, she was not able to compare Valley Bank on the same basis inasmuch as they were not allowed to bid. He related they were doing those kinds of services every day for companies all over the nation. He again stated he felt Valley Bank should be allowed to bid and should not be kept from bidding for

a purely technical matter that was an oversight.

Mr. Klaich related that on a historical note, the last time the Board considered banking services, the process was irregular and the result was unusual and there had been a substantial amount of criticism. He stated he felt that if the staff is to be criticized for anything this time around, they would have to be criticized for listening to the Board and to taking the Board at its word and face value, to set out procedures, and then following those procedures. He reiterated that the staff had done nothing wrong; that Valley Bank had missed a meeting, which had been one of the requirements. He continued that he was not saying whether the Board should "hang" someone on that technicality, but that he had the utmost respect for the staff, and regardless of the decision, he was not casting aspersions on the staff because they had listened to what the Board had said five years ago.

Mrs. Gallagher asked Mr. Klaich, as Chairman of the Committee, whether the members of that Committee were satisfied that the UNS procedures were followed. Mr. Klaich replied that the Investment Committee had three meetings on the matter. They had met awhile back and determined that it was

appropriate to bid. They had directed Ms. Mac Donald to generate an RFP. They knew she was generating an RFP.

Mr. Foley questioned the procedure of involving the Business Center of UNS, stating that Business Center North Purchasing Department is an adjunct to UNR, just as the Business Center south is an adjunct to UNLV, with Mr. Ashok Dhingra, the Chief Financial Officer at UNR in charge in the north, and his counterpart, Mr. Harry Neel, in charge at UNLV. He further questioned why President Crowley was setting criteria for acceptance of bids for banking for the entire System. President Crowley explained that Business Center North provides services to all of the institutions in northern Nevada, including the Chancellor's Office, which it was doing in this instance.

Mr. Foley stated he understood how that happens and questioned why the Chancellor was not involved in this procedure and that if the Board wanted to justify it on the basis of "because it's been done that way for years", that he did not believe in compounding the confusion. President Crowley stated he just wanted it made clear that this was not a recommendation of UNR, that they had played no role in it.

Dr. Derby stated she was apprehensive about this situation in that she depended upon the Investment Committee to bring forward a recommendation to the Board members, and she felt the Committee was giving a "very clear cut indecision", and questioned whether more time could be allowed in order that the Board might have more information. Mr. Klaich and Dr. Hammargren called for the vote.

The motion failed. Regents Berkley, Foley, Hammargren, Sparks and Whitley voted no. Regents Klaich and Eardley voted yes. Regents Gallagher and Derby abstained.

Mr. Foley moved to extend on a temporary basis, the contract presently in force, that the current bids be rejected, and that these services be put out to bid once again with the bidding procedure formulated or approved by the Chancellor's Office. Dr. Hammargren seconded.

Mr. Klaich stated he would vote against the motion because he was concerned prior to the meeting, based on what he had heard, that fair treatment was being extended to the institutions. He stated he had tried, as carefully as he could, to give credence to the statements of Mr. Thomas, Mr. Bouchard and Mr. Collins, realizing that it was very dif-

difficult because they were making oral representations about very technical contracts. Further, he stated he had questioned Mr. Lenon and Ms. Mac Donald very carefully regarding their comparison of the bids received, the existing contract as modified, and on the basis of Ms. Mac Donald's representation that she believed of the three, which she had fairly compared, that Security Pacific was the best contract for the University of System System, he would vote in support of the recommendation and against the motion on the floor.

Mrs. Sparks and Dr. Derby questioned the time frame involved. Ms. Mac Donald stated that to change banks by July 1, a new contract would need to be awarded at this meeting, that it would take six weeks for a changeover.

Mr. Collins stated that Security Pacific Bank had presented a comprehensive bid, the staff had evaluated that bid, knowing the current capabilities of Valley Bank's services as well as the other competition, and that they felt they had won the recommendation to this point on a true comparison. In addition, he stated that now that the bids have been opened and made public, a rebidding would put both Security Pacific and First Interstate Bank in a very un-

fair position.

Dr. Eardley stated he felt he was violently opposed to the treatment of the staff, that it was a disservice to the staff, who are the experts for the Board, to disregard their recommendations and make changes after they had followed the guidelines and policies adopted by the Board.

Mrs. Berkley stated she did not think it was necessarily a disservice to the staff, but that Regents looked at things a little bit differently than the staff and bring a different perspective to their votes. She added that she was uncomfortable with making a determination on a technicality and questioned why Valley Bank was not allowed by the other two bidders to participate in the process. Mr. Collins responded that Valley had violated requirements and had not followed the RFP and he had not wanted to go against the staff.

Upon roll call vote, the motion passed: Regents Berkley, Foley, Hammargren, Sparks and Whitley voted yes. Regents Klaich and Eardley voted no. Regents Derby and Gallagher abstained.

Mr. Collins asked for a time frame and the specific reasons

for the rejection. Mr. Klaich replied that the record of discussion should speak for itself, and suggested continuing with the meeting.

8. Approved UNS Capital Improvement

Plans for two UNS Capital Improvement projects authorized by the 1989 Nevada State Legislature were presented for review. (Note: The CCCC Health Education Center, Phase II Capital Improvement project will be presented at the June meeting.)

A. President Gwaltney introduced Mr. Brad Van Woert, Sheehan-Van Woert Architects, who presented the plans for TMCC's Phase V Addition. The Phase V Addition will be a separate 50,000 square foot building, which will house a Child Development Center, Academic Center, Developmental Math and English Center, Computer Center, and faculty offices. The addition will have adequate parking facilities.

Mrs. Whitley moved approval of the architectural plans for TMCC's Phase V addition. Dr. Eardley seconded.

Motion carried.

B. President Meacham introduced Domingo Cambiero, Domingo

Cambiero Corporation, who presented the plans for the CCCC Henderson Campus, Phase II. Phase II will consist of 38,400 square feet; 30,000 square feet will be the actual building and 8,400 square feet will be a shell.

The two-story building will consist of 24 classrooms, mechanical/technical rooms, computer labs, and faculty offices. The completion date has been slated for January, 1991.

Mrs. Sparks moved approval of the architectural plans for CCCC's Henderson Campus, Phase II. Mr. Foley seconded. Motion carried.

9. Approved Use of Capital Improvement Funds, TMCC

President Gwaltney discussed the increase in vehicular traffic on Dandini Boulevard as a result of the continued construction of new classrooms and facilities at TMCC. Approved the utilization of funds in UNS Capital Improvement Project No. 5 for the development of schematic and construction drawings for the Technology Center at 115,000 square feet and the construction of an access road to mitigate

traffic problems at TMCC and DRI. This request will not change the prioritization or the proposed funding for the UNS Capital Improvement Project.

President Taranik stated that DRI is in partnership with this concept and is hopeful that DRI and TMCC can work with the Nevada State Highway Department in meeting the demands of the traffic situation for both entities.

Dr. Eardley moved approval to use funds in UNS Capital Improvement Project No. 5 for the development of schematic and construction drawings for the Technology Center at 115,000 square feet and the construction of an access road to mitigate traffic problems at TMCC and DRI. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

10. Approved Recommendations for Promotion or Assignment to Rank

Approved the following recommendations for promotion or assignment to rank for Board consideration:

A. University of Nevada, Reno

President Crowley recommended the following promotions,

effective July 1, 1990:

*Miriella Melara to Associate Professor of French, Department of Foreign Languages and Literatures, Arts & Science.

*Alexander Kumjian to Associate Professor of Mathematics, Arts & Science.

*Previously recommended for tenture to be effective, July 1, 1990.

Mr. Foley moved approval of the recommendations for promotion or assignment to rank at UNR. Mrs. Sparks seconded.

Motion carried.

11. Approved Recommendations for Award of Tenure

Approved the following recommendations for tenure forwarded for Board consideration:

A. University of Nevada, Reno

President Crowley recommended the following awards of

tenure, effective July 1, 1990:

Yunus Cengel, Assistant Professor of Mechanical Engineering, Engineering.

Keith Priestley, Professor of Geophysics, Mackay School of Mines.

Mr. Foley moved approval of the recommendations for tenure at UNR. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

12. Approved Community College Technical Education Report

At the Board of Regents' Workshop held on April 12, 1990, presentations and discussions were held on the report "Technical Education at Nevada's Community Colleges". Several recommendations were presented at that time. Chancellor Dawson requested acceptance of this report. Ref. B, filed in the Regents' Office, contains the recommendations from the report.

Dr. Eardley moved approval to accept the report on Community College technical education and to adopt the recommendations from the report, "Technical Education at Nevada's

Community Colleges". Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

Dr. Derby requested a follow-up report on the progress that the Community Colleges achieve in technical education.

Chairman Gallagher directed Vice Chancellor Fox to report back to the Board.

13. Approved Bill Draft, UNR

Approved a UNR bill draft as contained in Ref. F, filed in the Regents' Office. The bill draft's intent is to allow contributions and retirement benefits to be based on total earnings of a member of the Public Employees Retirement System.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the UNR bill draft. Mr. Foley seconded. Motion carried.

14. Approved Bylaws, CCCC

Approved the Clark County Community College Bylaws, filed in the Regents' Office.

Mrs. Sparks moved approval of the CCCC Bylaws. Dr. Derby

seconded. Motion carried.

15. Accepted Donation of Building, UNLV

Accepted a gift of a 4500 square foot building from Mr. Claude I. Howard. The building, valued at approximately \$150,000, has been designed to house the UNLV Soccer Team and will also have bathrooms and concession stands. There will be no cost to the University.

Mr. Foley moved to accept the gift of the 4500 square foot building from Mr. Claude I. Howard to house the UNLV Soccer Team. Mrs. Berkley seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Foley extended his appreciation for Mr. Howard's generosity to the University of Nevada System.

The open meeting recessed at 4:20 P.M. and reconvened at 8:10

A.M., Friday, May 18, 1990, with all Regents present.

16. Approved Presidential Evaluation Procedures

Approved the Presidential Evaluation Procedures Policy as contained in Ref. C, filed in the Regents' Office, with

amendments to the policy. The amendments are 1) Title 4, Chapter 2, Section 3, paragraph 2A should include all members of the Board of Regents, instead of two members, to participate on the resource and review team, and 2) the Business Community to be involved in the process and to be added in the appropriate places.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the Presidential Evaluation Procedures Policy as amended. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

17. Information Only: Area Health Education Center Project

Representatives of the School of Medicine and the Area Health Education Center (AHEC) presented a progress report on the AHEC project, which included a review of the project's initiation, its development to date and future plans and funding requirements.

Mr. Jim Johnson, Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Northeastern AHEC, stated that Northeastern AHEC provides educational resources for rural health providers. He emphasized the need for State funding to replace the Federal funds which are being phased out.

Dr. Robert Daugherty, Dean of the School of Medicine, stated that in 1986 Nevada received a three-year grant which 1) recruited young people from the rural areas, 2) trained students and health professional students to work together, and 3) provided continuing education in the health profession in the rural areas. In 1987, two AHEC centers were opened, one in Reno and the other in Elko. In 1989, another three-year grant was awarded which opened a center in southern Nevada. Dr. Daugherty stated that after 1992 there will no longer be Federal funding for the northeastern AHEC program.

Dr. Daugherty introduced several AHEC staff members who described their specific programs to the Board of Regents.

Ms. Nancy Rody, Deputy Director, gave an overall review of Nevada AHEC; Ms. Jamie Anderson reported on several student programs; Ms. Yvonne Chaves reported on the Northeastern AHEC in Elko; and Ms. Gayle Cluck reported on the newly established AHEC in southern Nevada.

President Crowley stated that budgeting for the Nevada AHEC program should be statewide because it effects several different Campuses. Dr. Daugherty suggested that the funding

be shared between NNCC and the School of Medicine, and that the funding flow to the University and then to the non-profit organization of AHEC.

18. Information Only: Report on Cooperative Extension Service

Center Visits

Dean Bernard Jones, College of Agriculture at UNR, presented a report on the visitation to the Cooperative Extension Service Centers recently conducted for UNS Administrators. He reported that there are 17 Cooperative Extension Service offices throughout the State and there were six meetings scheduled between April 16-30 for the UNS Administrators to attend.

Dr. Jones stated the five priority programs throughout the nation – 1) water quantity and quality, 2) youth at risk, 3) human nutrition, 4) agriculture propagation, and 5) rural revitalization. The UNR Cooperative Extension Service Centers provide service in the first four priorities in its program by working with other agencies throughout the State. He informed the Board that the UNR Cooperative Extension Service has received national awards and has been recognized as one of the top cooperative extension programs in

the country.

19. Approved Policy on Tuition and Fees

Approved the Tuition and Fee Policy as contained in Ref. D,
filed with the permanent minutes.

At the April, 1990 meeting, Chancellor Dawson discussed with the Board a proposed Tuition and Fee policy. Presidents requested additional time to fully discuss the proposal with their students and faculty. Ref. E, filed in the Regents' Office, is a schedule of the manner in which the Campuses will expend these funds. Vice Chancellor Sparks announced some corrections to Ref. E. The UNS total revenue expected is \$4,893,560.

Chancellor Dawson explained that there should be an annual review of fees in order to bring the fees in line with the average of the WICHE States, which is used as a base for comparison. The Campuses need to generate additional funding if they are to accomplish the goals and needs, and raising the fees will aid the Campuses in this effort.

Mr. Foley questioned why UNS uses WICHE data for comparison

when there are economically poorer States than Nevada belonging to WICHE, and it was explained that UNS uses WICHE data averages when making comparisons. President Crowley stated that if UNS was to use a national comparison, Nevada would be even lower than with the WICHE comparison. WICHE is credible and the Legislature is familiar with WICHE data and has used such data for comparison purposes in the past.

CSUN President Joe Bunin stated that, on behalf of the student government officers, WICHE data should not be used for Nevada. He was disappointed that this item was being discussed at such a late date (end of school year) and suggested that fees increases should be discussed during the school year in order for students to be more aware and more involved in the discussion. He requested a postponement of the issue on student fees until the student government officers have discussed the proposed policy with the Chancellor and his staff.

ASUN President Jason Geddes stated that UNR Administration has discussed the issue with ASUN, but he disagreed with the timeframe in which to develop the proposed long-term policy and he, too, requested additional time to discuss this with the Chancellor.

Mr. Klaich stated that the WICHE index may increase or decrease over the years, therefore UNS should have a long-term policy to address fee increases on a regular basis to eliminate major increases.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the policy using the WICHE survey as a primary guide and to include consumer price index for this region, the increase in State funding, and other indices as appropriate. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

Mr. Mark Evans, UNR Graduate Student Association President, stated the GSA supports a policy in order to know what increases can be expected, but objects to the substantial initial increase for graduate students that are proposed at this time.

NNCC Faculty Senate Chairman Mike Mc Farlane stated that when the committee was devising this policy they looked at what was needed to fund the Institution, then a comparison study was made against the WICHE data. He emphasized that WICHE data was not the basis of their decision.

Mr. Klaich amended the motion by adding that a needs assessment of the respective Institutions be a priority in determining the fee increase. Mrs. Whitley seconded.

President Maxson stated that UNLV has discussed the issue with the students and where the increase would be distributed if approved. He stated that the WICHE data and the Chancellor's recommendation are good for the System. He questioned whether the motion allowed for student input to reach the Chancellor after the first of the year, and was informed that it would.

Mrs. Berkley questioned whether the policy needed to be adopted at this meeting, and Chancellor Dawson stated that it was his recommendation that it be adopted at this time so as Vice Chancellor Sparks could build it into the Biennial Budget.

General Counsel Klasic submitted the following new language in accordance with the above discussion:

The Board of Regents shall establish tuition rates for students who are not residents of Nevada, and registration and other fees to be assessed all students. In

establishing such rates and fees, the Board will use as a guide charges at peer Institutions as defined in the annual Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE) calculation of the average tuition and fees of member States. Beginning Fall, 1990, the Board will use the WICHE average of the previous year as the primary guide to establish tuition and fee rates for UNS students. The Chancellor will also provide to the Board information pertaining to consumer prices in the WICHE region, the increase in State funding over the biennium, a needs assessment of the UNS Institutions and other appropriate indices and information, to determine if these tuition and fee rates are appropriate.

Tuition and fees may be changed by the Board at any time; however, to assist students in planning their educational expenditures, and for continuity in University planning, tuition and fees will be reviewed on an annual basis and every effort will be made to adjust these charges, if necessary, at the beginning of each fiscal year.

In establishing such rates and fees, the Board recognized that both the students of the UNS Institutions and the citizens of the State of Nevada share in the

benefits of higher education, and therefore both students and the State should contribute appropriately to support high quality instructional programs. Further, the Board reaffirms its commitment to equal access to UNS programs regardless of students financial circumstances, and therefore shall establish tuition rates and fees at such a level to encourage participation in higher education. In addition, financial aid programs should be responsive to changes in tuition and fees.

Motion carried.

Chancellor Dawson explained the proposed tuition and fees, Ref. D, page 2. The resident undergraduate fees at the Universities are proposed at \$46 per credit and the Community Colleges are proposed at \$24 per credit; resident graduate fees are proposed at \$60; and the nonresident tuition at the Universities are proposed at \$3300 and the Community Colleges will be held constant at \$3000 until the WICHE average catches up with them. (WICHE average is \$2147.)

Vice Chancellor Sparks reviewed the proposed expenditures

of tuition and fee increases for fiscal 1991, as found in Ref. E. If approved, Vice Chancellor Sparks requested permission to approach the Interim Finance Committee for its approval.

Mr. Foley questioned these expenditures which are based on the WICHE averages prior to the revised policy which was just adopted. Vice Chancellor Sparks explained that the biennial budget will be presented to the Governor on September 1 and that there is a great need to finalize the budget. He further explained that based on the average movement of WICHE fees over the last five years, the University fees have increased by an average of 5.7% and the Community College fees have increased by an average of 5.5%. These averages have been built into the 1991-93 biennial budgets.

Chairman Gallagher stated that the last time UNS proposed an increase, the Legislature automatically implemented an increase. She emphasized the need to develop an average and requested the Chancellor to review the WICHE averages along with the needs assessment at each Campus over the last several months.

Vice Chancellor Sparks stated that UNS' needs are far from what is being proposed. If the proposed fees are adopted, the current fee support is 16%, 17% next year, with the national average at 25%.

Vice Chancellor Sparks proceeded to explain the support services formulas for FY 1990-91 estimated funding status handout. The handout indicates the shortfall for UNS total is \$11,743,402.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the requested fee increase provided that the portion of the increase dedicated to the General Improvement Fee Fund shall be separately budgeted annually and provided further that this budget shall be devoted to goods and services that directly enhance the students' educational experience. Dr. Eardley seconded.

Mr. Klaich explained that certain General Improvement Fee Funds should not be included in the proposed expenditures, such as property acquisition at UNR, telephone/communication system at NNCC, and fund raising at WNCC.

President Crowley explained that UNR's first priority in developing this list was for a Capital Improvement Fee

Fund category, but compromised with other Presidents to include it under one fee, the General Improvement Fee Fund. He stated that he would be agreeable to change the category title to Capital Improvement Fee Fund for such requests as property acquisitions. He stated that UNR has gone before the Legislature to request funding for property acquisition, but has been denied funding. He did emphasize that the General Improvement Fee Fund is reported annually to the Board of Regents. Mr. Klaich stressed the importance of his motion in that the fee increase would directly enhance the students' educational experience. They agreed for UNR to place \$1 into the Capital Improvement Fee Fund.

President Calabro explained that the fund raising category under WNCC General Improvement Fee Fund is for soliciting out-of-state funds for scholarships. Mr. Klaich felt that this should be considered an indirect expenditure to the students' education experience.

Mr. Klaich amended the motion with the following exceptions:

UNR - \$1 placed under Capital Improvement Fee Fund for property acquisition/lab remodel; NNCC - acquisition for telephone/communication system to be withdrawn; and WNCC - fund raising to be withdrawn. Dr. Eardley seconded.

President Maxson clarified that the General Improvement Fee Fund is to go directly to the student services and, as President, he will speak with the students each year to determine their particular needs. The Presidents' Discretionary Fund has been expended on special needs that arise throughout the year. Mr. Klaich stated that if current latitudes are used, it is permissible to continue using the discretionary funds with the same guidelines.

Motion carried.

20. Approved the 1990-91 UNS Operating Budget

Approved the 1990-91 UNS Operating Budget as authorized by the Nevada State Legislature during its 1989 session.

The budget was presented at the meeting by Vice Chancellor Sparks. He stated that this budget is only temporary until 1) the student tuition and registration fee increases, which were just approved, and 2) the salary increases that might be provided as a result of the salary trigger for the classified and professional employees, are incorporated into the budget.

The total State Supported Operating Budget is \$190.6 million. This compares to \$179.4 million for the 1989-90 fiscal year and represents a 6.3% increase.

State appropriations for fiscal year 1991 amount to \$156.6 million, representing 82.2% of the State Supported Operating Budget. This compares to \$146.6 million for the 1990 fiscal year and represents a 6.8% increase.

Other revenue sources budgeted in the State Supporting Operating Budget total \$34 million, representing 17.8% of the State Supported Operating Budget. This compares to \$32.7 million for the 1990 fiscal year and represents a 3.9% increase. Student fees represent approximately 13.0% of total revenue budgeted in the Operating Budget.

Vice Chancellor Sparks summarized the schedules included in the 1990-91 UNS Operating Budget. The document also provides comparisons with the 1990 Operating Budget along with detailed budgets for each appropriation area.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the 1990-91 UNS Operating Budget. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Mrs. Berkley abstained.

Motion carried.

21. Approved Student Judicial Code, UNR

Approved the Student Judicial Code at UNR, as contained in Ref. G, filed in the Regents' Office.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the UNR Student Judicial Code. Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

22. Accepted the Donation of Building, TMCC

President Gwaltney discussed the concept of a donated 16,000 square foot building to house the TMCC Culinary Arts program which has been recommended by the Culinary Arts Advisory Committee.

Dr. Eardley moved approval to proceed with discussions for the donation of a 16,000 square foot building to house the TMCC Culinary Arts program and should it materialize to report back to the Board. Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

23. Information Only: Outstanding Faculty Recognition

At the request of the Board of Regents, each Faculty Senate Chairman or representative reported on the outstanding faculty achievements from their respective Institutions.

University of Nevada, Reno - Faculty Senate Chairman Richard

Brown announced the following outstanding faculty:

Wendy Kiehn, Chairwoman, Academic Standards Committee,

1989-90

Dr. Randall Reid, Chairman, Bylaws and Code Committee,

1989-90

Dr. R. Thomas King, Chairman, Campus Affairs Committee,

1989-90

Dr. Terry Woodin, Chairwoman, Institutional Budgets,

1989-90

Carl Parkhurst, Chairwoman, Salary and Benefits Commit-

tee, 1989-90, Senate Executive Board, 1989-90

Dr. Deborah Ballard-Reisch, Senator 1987-90, Executive

Board, 1988-89, Faculty Senate Chair, 1989-90

Dr. Robert Mead, Senator, 1987-90, Executive Board,
1987-90, Faculty Senate Chair, 1988-89

Dr. Viktoria Hertling, Senator, 1988-90

Dr. Robert Winzeler, Senator, 1988-90

Dr. Bruce Moran, Senator, 1988-90

Richard Post, Senator, 1987-90

Dr. Paul Tueller, Senator, 1987-90

Dr. Colleen Murray, Senator, 1989-90, and School of Home
Economics Senator, 1987-89

Dr. Tom Watterson, Senator, 1989-90

Dr. Lois Parker, Senator, 1987-90, Senate Executive
Board, 1989-90

Dr. Pete Perriera, Senator, 1987-90

Dr. Taryn Melkus, Senator, 1989-90

Desert Research Institute - Faculty Senate Chairman Steve

Mizell announced the following outstanding faculty:

Mr. Greg Henton, Assistant Research Archaeologist in the Quaternary Sciences Center, has made major contributions that are critical to the Center's operations which required efforts beyond his normal duties. He assumed responsibility for directing the Institute's Southern Nevada Archaeology Program while the normal Director filled an interim administrative position. The Program conducted over a million dollars worth of research into southern Nevada's history and prehistory under his leadership. Mr. Henton also chaired a reorganization of the Center's support staff and was instrumental in design and development of additional office and laboratory space during this same period.

University of Nevada, Las Vegas - Faculty Senate Chairman

Isabelle Emerson announced the following outstanding faculty:

Frank Gagliardi for his service to UNLV.

Nancy Master for her service to UNLV.

Vincent Eade for his service to UNLV.

James Abbey for his service to UNLV.

Patti Shock for her service to UNLV.

Kazem Taghua for his service to UNLV.

Paul Jones for his service to UNLV.

Lawrence Golding for his service to UNLV.

Ray Goldsworthy for his service to UNLV.

Northern Nevada Community College - Faculty Senate Chairman Mike Mc Farlane announced the following outstanding faculty:

Ed Martin for his recent accomplishment in passing the American Welding Society Certifier Test. This makes

him the only person between Reno and Salt Lake City who can inspect and certify welders to AWS standards.

In a region with growing industrial needs, this makes Mr. Martin invaluable to NNCC and its growing industrial programs. In his first year at NNCC, he has also demonstrated himself as a dedicated instructor.

He often has eight hours per day of contact time with students, as well as being involved in evening classes.

24. Information Only: Outstanding Student Recognition

At the request of the Board of Regents, each Student Government Officer or representative reported on the outstanding student achievements from their respective Institutions.

University of Nevada, Reno - ASUN President Jason Geddes announced the following outstanding students:

Gary Mc Coy for his achievement in winning the National Championship in Boxing.

Rick Hsu for his organizing efforts for the faculty/author appreciation reception.

Patty Moen for her organizational skills for the Earth

Day celebration, as well as the UNR recycling program.

University of Nevada, Las Vegas - President Robert Maxson

announced the following outstanding students:

1990 Graduation Class

Desert Research Institute - Faculty Senate Chairman Steve

Mizell announced the following outstanding student:

Sandy Haws as an outstanding student researcher. Last

month she was named recipient of the 1990 George B.

Maxey and Jane C. Maxey Award for her outstanding stu-

dent research paper entitled, "Cross-Sectional Finite

Element Model of Saturated Ground-Water Flow in the

Yucca Mountain Area". Ms. Haws has recently completed

her Master of Science degree through the UNLV Department

of Geosciences and the DRI Water Resources Center under

the direction of Dr. Tom Brikowski.

Truckee Meadows Community College - President John Gwaltney

announced the following outstanding students:

Officers of Phi Theta Kappa

Kathy Stepina, President

Rose Taylor, Vice President

Linda Harrison, Secretary

Carolee Haitt, Treasurer

Kay Adair, Public Relations Secretary

Northern Nevada Community College - Faculty Senate Chairman

Mike Mc Farlane announced following outstanding students:

Marilyn Hazel for her accomplishments in completing her third semester as an Art student and her first semester as an Art scholarship student.

Paul Butcher for his selection as NNCC's Valedictorian for the class of 1990. He received all "A's" except for one "B" in his four-year pursuit of an Associate of Science degree on part-time basis. He works full-time in an assay lab at a mining company, which, with travel time, makes for very long days. Even with his family obligation, he has managed to complete two or three courses every semester with a high quality of work. He is also a very fine, unassuming individual.

Patricia Rowley has been an outstanding Nursing student. She came to NNCC from Ely, where the William B. Ririe Hospital awarded her a full scholarship to attend NNCC. While attending classes in Elko, she also worked part-time at Elko General Hospital. She has been President of the Student Nurses, given the Outstanding Nursing Award for 1989-90, and was given the NNCC Outstanding Academic Award for 1989-90. She will be returning to Ely to apply her skills there where they are truly needed.

25. Approved Statement of Affirmation on the Role of Faculty

Senate Chair

Approved the following affirmation statement:

The Board of Regents in its constitutionally mandated responsibility for the governance of the University of Nevada System publically reaffirms the critical role that Faculty Senate Chairs play in system governance. As duly elected Campus representatives, the Faculty Senate Chairs share with the Board the views of the Campus faculty they represent. The perspective of

the faculty is essential to the Board in its policy

deliberations.

It is the will of the Board of Regents that the position and function of the University of Nevada System Faculty Senate Chair be recognized as essential to system governance, and that accommodation be made to the time and activity requirements the position entails at all levels of Campus and system administration.

Dr. Derby moved approval of the statement of affirmation on the role of Faculty Senate Chair. Mr. Foley seconded.

Motion carried.

26. Academic Affairs Committee

The Academic Affairs Committee, meeting as a committee of the whole under the Chairmanship of Regent Foley, acted as follows:

- (1) Approved the name change of the Department of Hospitality Administration and Tourism to the Department of Tourism and Convention Administration at UNLV.

It was felt by the Department and the College that

the name change would reflect more accurately the focus on conventional management and tourism studies.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the name change of the Department of Hospitality Administration and Tourism to the Department of Tourism and Convention Administration at UNLV. Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

(2) Approved the name changes of two Departments in the College of Engineering. The changes are as follows: the Department of Electrical Engineering changed to Electrical and Computer Engineering, the Department of Civil Engineering changed to Civil and Environmental Engineering at UNLV.

Dr. Derby moved approval of the name change of two Departments in the College of Engineering at UNLV.

Mrs. Berkley seconded. Motion carried.

27. Ad Hoc Committee on Athletic Policy

The ad hoc Committee on Athletic Policy, meeting as a committee of the whole under the Chairmanship of Regent Hammargren, acted as follows:

(1) At its April, 1990 meeting, the committee discussed

the general policies for Intercollegiate Athletics as contained in the Handbook, Chapter 10, Section 17.

The policy was referred to the two Universities for review by their Athletic Departments. Ref. AP-1 is filed in the Regents' Office.

Mr. Bradley Booke, Assistant General Counsel, explained he had been asked to assist the review of the policies because of his work with UNLV and their NCAA investigation which has required a comprehensive review of NCAA rules and regulations.

Dr. Hammargren offered the changes suggested by the two Universities:

2. Academic and Athletic Standards. Insert the

following at the end of the 2nd sentence:

"Each Institution must establish policies concerning class time, assignments, tests, and final examinations which are missed by student athletes due to participation in officially sponsored intercollegiate athletic events".

Under 4.c insert the phrase: "by the Institution"

in the third sentence after the word "found" and

before the phrase "to have committed violations".

And, change the last sentence to read "Contracts

shall also provide that all Coaches, full time

and part time, must report annually to the Insti-

tution all athletically related income."

Mrs. Whitley questioned why, if Coaches are required

to report annually their athletically related income,

all other faculty should not report academically re-

lated income. Mr. Booke replied that an Institution

must require their Coaches to report athletically

related income in order to belong to the NCAA. Pres-

ident Maxson added that including this in a UNS policy

would avoid violations and that it is a self-protec-

tion rule. Mr. Klasic stated that this would not be

discriminatory inasmuch as NCAA does make it a con-

dition of belonging to their organization.

Dr. Maxson offered that there are policies in place

which cover outside consulting, etc. of academic

faculty.

(2) In April, 1990 the committee reviewed a proposed Statement of Student Athlete Responsibilities which would be distributed to all UNS student athletes and would become a part of the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 10, as contained in Ref. AP-2, filed in the Regents' Office. Dr. Hammargren reported that the two Universities were in agreement with the statement.

(3) As a result of an Audit Committee review, the two Universities were requested to develop policies pertaining to tradeouts and complimentary tickets. Those policies, as contained in Ref. AP-3, filed in the Regents' Office, will be placed in the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 10.

Dr. Hammargren moved to approve items 1 as amended, 2 and 3.

Mr. Klaich seconded. Motion carried.

(4) Information Only: Dr. Hammargren reported that President Crowley will be the coordinator for the 1990 UNS Athletic Symposium which will be held in June.

Dr. Hammargren commended Mr. Booke for his efforts.

28. New Business

Dr. Dennis Brown, Vice President for Academic Affairs at UNR, distributed copies of an advertisement that will be featured in the June issue of the Forbes magazine. The advertisement highlights UNLV and UNR.

Mrs. Berkley and Dr. Derby commended Chancellor Dawson and Public Information Director Pamela Galloway for the informative System newsletter.

Mrs. Berkley suggested that the Board of Regents acknowledge past Regent Sig Rogich for his service on the Board.

Dr. Hammargren requested a future agenda item for the ad hoc Committee on Health Care to discuss the School of Medicine's residence program, AHEC coordination throughout the State.

Mrs. Sparks informed the Board that the Supercomputer has been installed at UNLV and is up and running. She commended President Maxson for his efforts in obtaining this computer.

The meeting adjourned at 2:35 P.M.

Mary Lou Moser

Secretary of the Board

05-17-1990